

Computer Science

Ph.D. QUALIFYING EXAM GRADING REPORT

Student:						
Advisor:						
Written project was: (click one box	per ro	w)				
Well organized	5	4	3	2	1	Disorganized
Recognizes key research issues	5	4	3	2	1	Little insight
Representative bibliography	5	4	3	2	1	Scant coverage
Technically correct	5	4	3	2	1	Technical errors
Grammatically correct	5	4	3	2	1	Grammatical errors
Comments on written project:						
The student's oral presentation wa	s: (clic	k one b	ox per ı	row)		
Presentation well prepared	5	4	3	2	1	Poorly prepared
Logically organized	5	4	3	2	1	Disorganized
Ideas cohesively integrated	5	4	3	2	1	Fragmented
Authoritative and professional	5	4	3	2	1	Sloppy
Time management excellent	5	4	3	2	1	Poor
The student's ability to answer que	stions	on the	project	was: (cl	lick one	box per row)
Exhibited comprehensive expertise 5	; 5	4	3	2	1	Minimal expertise
Used references/examples well	5	4	3	2	1	Not effectively used
Made convincing arguments	5	4	3	2	1	Not convincing
Comments on oral presentation:						
Recommendation: Pass	·				Fail	
Evaluator's Name				_ Signature:		
Evaluator's relationship to student: Student's Advisor Faculty		ber	Gr	aduate	Commi	ttee Representative